Lancaster West Refurbishment Risk Profile

Current risk scores

Likelihood + Impact	I RARE	2 UNLIKELY	3 POSSIBLE	4 LIKELY	5 ALMOST CERTAIN
I INSIGNIFICANT					
2 MINOR		3		ı	
3 MODERATE		8	16		
4 MAJOR	ı	7	18	5	
5 EXTREME		1	2	2	

Key Programme risks

Risks	What are we doing about it
Disturbance / disruption to residents during refurb works	 Construction logistics plan Evolving respite offer Decant scheme & friends and family offer
Increased cost, disruption or complexity due to poor sequencing of works	 Developing master programme Increased joint working & collaboration across teams
Demand for decants and other respite, causes a lack of available properties and affects the speed/progress of the refurbishment	 Developing works in situ approach Evolving respite offer
We do not have a coherent fire strategy (which goes beyond building regulations)	 Fire strategy developed Learning from fire doors programme Increasing knowledge, training and skills across the team and identifying need for additional resource e.g. fire safety officer
Climate change impacts - proper climate adaptation measures	 Site wide Landscaping and SUDS planning work has begun Monitoring air quality within homes and outside MVHR's – trailing options to improve ventilation
External risk to budgets of cost uncertainty and external conditions	 Developing cost management knowledge, skills, and advice Maximising budget over life time of the programme to minimise financial waste
Health & Safety - Accident, injury or major incidents affecting any individual or group across the estate	 Construction logistic planning Health & safety accreditation – follow best practice Work with residents to minimise risks Being an active client

A changing risk profile

Risks description	Score
Newly insulated buildings overheat (overheating currently noted to top storeys of existing buildings)	3
There is a risk that the internal refurbishment programme scope, resourcing and scale is too significant to deliver ongoing programme, both in timings and cost	7
A lack of a planning consultant and a coherent plan estate wide might lead to delays in the programme	7
Residents' do not accept EWI/IWI	7
There is a risk that longer timescales will impact negatively on resident satisfaction	3
Abortive work due to poor coordination of internal refurb and wider refurbishment programmes	5